IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE, ANDHRA PRADESH
AT HYDERABAD

(Special Original Jurisdiction)

TUESDAY, THE FIRST DAY OF FEBRUARY
TWO THOUSAND AND FIVE

PRESENT

THE HON'BLE MR JUSTICE B.PRAKASH RAO

WRIT PETITION NO : 22840 of 2000

And

C.C.No.682 of 2001

W.P.No.22840 of 2000

Between:

T.E.Rajagopalan, S/o. Sri T.E.T.Chari,
R/o. Hyderabad.

..... PETITIONER

AND

1 Pragati Maha Vidyalaya Jr.College Rep.by it's Correspondent,
Hanuman Tekdi,Koti, Hyderabad.

2 The Commissioner of Intermediate Education,
A.P.,,Hyderabad.

3 State of A.P. rep.by it's Seretary to Govt. ,Higher Education Department,
Secretariat, Saifabad, Hyderabad.

.....RESPONDENTS

Petition under Article 226 of the constitution of India praying that in the circumstances stated in the Affidavit filed herein the High Court will be pleased to issue an appropriate writ or order or direction more particularly one in the nature of writ of Mandamus declaring as illegal and arbitrary the action of the 1st Respondent in not reinstating Petitioner into service pursuant to G.O.Rt.No.251 Higher Educational(CE.III.2) Department dt.7.4.2000 and issue a consequential direction to forthwith give effect to G.O. Rt.No.251 dt.7.4.2000 by reinstating the petitioner into

service.

Counsel for the Petitioner: MR.D.V.SITARAMAMURTHY

Counsel for the Respondent No.1: MR.G.VIDYA SAGAR

Counsel for the Respondent No.2 and 3: GP FOR HIGHER EDUCATION

CONTEMPT CASE NO : 682 of 2001

Contempt Case U/s. 10 to 12 of Contempt of Courts Act to punish the Respondents herein for violating, disobeying the Order of the High Court dated 24-11-2000 in WPMP No.29015 of 2000 in WP No. 22840 of 2000.

Between:

T.E Rajagopaln s/o T.E.T. Chari
r/o Hyderabad.

..... PETITIONER

AND

Sri Vrajesh Shah, Correspondent,
Pragathi MahaVidhyalaya Junior College, HanumanTekdi ,
Koti, Hyderabad.

.....RESPONDENT

Counsel for the Petitioner:MR.D.V.SITARAMAMURTHY

Counsel for the Respondent: MR. G.VIDYA SAGAR

The Court made the following:

THE HON'BLE SRI JUSTICE B.PRAKASH RAO

W.P.NO.22840 OF 2000

AND

C.C.NO.682 OF 2001

ORDER:

Learned counsel for the petitioner seeks permission to withdraw the writ petition.

Permission is granted.

Therefore, the Writ Petition is dismissed as withdrawn. Consequently, the contempt case is closed. No costs.

_____

(B.PRAKASH RAO, J)

Dated February 1, 2005.

YCR

To

1 The Correspondent, Pragati Maha Vidyalaya Jr.College,
Hanuman Tekdi,Koti, Hyderabad.
2 The Commissioner of Intermediate Education, A.P.,,Hyderabad.
3 The Secretary to Govt., Higher Education Department,
State of A.P. Secretariat, Saifabad, Hyderabad.

4. 2 CCs to G.P. for Higher Education, High Court Buildings, Hyderabad. (OUT)

5. 2 C.D. copies.